

## Weighted $L_p$ Error of Lagrange Interpolation\*

GIUSSEPPE MASTROIANNI

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università della Basilicata, Via N. Sauro 85, 85100 Potenza, Italy*

AND

PÉTER VÉRTESI

*Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
1053 Budapest, Reáltanoda u. 13–15, Hungary*

*Communicated by Paul Nevai*

Received May 25, 1993; accepted in revised form August 2, 1994

The authors give new error estimates of Lagrange interpolation in the weighted  $L_{p,u}$  norm, when  $u$  is a generalized Jacobi weight and the interpolation points are the zeros of polynomials orthogonal with respect to (another) generalized Jacobi weight. © 1995 Academic Press, Inc.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X = \{x_{m,k}, k = 1, \dots, m, m = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset (-1, 1)$  be a matrix of knots and let  $f$  be bounded function on  $[-1, 1]$ . We denote by  $L_m(X, f)$  the Lagrange polynomial interpolating the function  $f$  at  $x_{m,k}, k = 1, \dots, m$ . The operator  $L_m(X)$  maps bounded functions into continuous functions with an  $L_p$  weighted norm,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Therefore, if  $u$  is a suitable weight function, then

$$\|L_m(X, f) u\|_p \leq \text{const} \|f\|_\infty$$

holds. Indeed, when the entries of  $X$  are the zeros of certain orthogonal polynomials, then there are presented in the literature necessary and sufficient conditions on  $u$  for the above to hold (see e.g. [10, 14]). There also are some necessary conditions on  $u$  when  $X$  is a general matrix of

\* This material is based upon work supported by the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (first author) and by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research Grant N.1910 (second author).

points dense in  $[-1, 1]$  (see [8]). In particular, if  $f$  is a continuous function, using the previous bound we get

$$\| [f - L_m(X, f)] u \|_p \leq \text{const } E_{m-1}(f),$$

where  $E_m(f)$  denotes the error of the best uniform approximation by algebraic polynomials. Nevertheless, the last estimate often is not suitable (if, say,  $f$  is not continuous). Further, as it turns out, in many applications it is necessary to estimate the interpolation error in an  $L_p$  weighted norm by the same norm of the (local) derivative of the function  $f$ . In the present paper, we obtain estimates of this kind, when  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $u$  is a generalized Jacobi weight, and the points of interpolation are the zeros of the generalized Jacobi polynomials. First, in the last inequality, we replace  $E_{m-1}(f)$  by the error  $\tilde{E}_{m-1}(f)_{u,p}$  of the best one-sided approximation in the  $L_p$  space with weight  $u$ . Subsequently, we give estimates of  $\tilde{E}_{m-1}(f)_{u,p}$  when the function  $f$  is locally absolutely continuous. This procedure can be applied to several discrete type operators.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We say that  $f \in L_p([a, b])$ ,  $-1 \leq a < b \leq 1$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if and only if

$$\|f\|_{L_p([a, b])}^p = \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx < \infty.$$

If  $a = -1$  and  $b = 1$ , then we write  $f \in L_p$  and  $\|f\|_p^p = \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)|^p dx$ . If  $p = \infty$ , we consider the *vraisup* norm. Further, we denote by  $\text{AC}_{\text{Loc}}$  the class of the functions absolutely continuous in any closed set  $[a, b] \subset (-1, 1)$ . In the following  $\Pi_m$  denotes the set of the polynomials of degree at most  $m$ . Throughout this paper, the symbol “ $\mathcal{C}$ ” stands for a positive constant which may take different values on different occurrences. Let  $g$  be a bounded and measurable function, and let  $\sigma$  be a weight function with  $\sigma \in L_p$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_m(g)_{\sigma,p} &= \inf \{ \| (Q^+ - Q^-) \sigma \|_p, \quad Q^\pm \in \Pi_m, \\ &\quad Q^-(x) \leq g(x) \leq Q^+(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$\tilde{E}_m(g)_{\sigma,p}$  is called the error of the best one-sided approximation of the function  $g$  in  $L_p$  space with weight  $\sigma$ .

Let

$$w(x) = \psi(x) v^{\alpha, \beta}(x) \prod_{k=1}^s |\chi_k - x|^{\lambda_k}, \quad |x| \leq 1, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $v^{\alpha, \beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > -1$  is a Jacobi weight,  $\gamma_k > -1$ ,  $|\chi_k| < 1$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $0 < \psi \in \text{Lip } \lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ . The weight  $w$  is called generalized smooth Jacobi weight ( $w \in \text{GSJ}$ ) (see e.g. [1, 10]). Now, let  $\{p_m(w)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the system of orthonormal polynomials corresponding to the weight function  $w$ , that is,  $p_m(w)$  is a polynomial of degree exactly  $m$  with positive leading coefficient and  $\int_{-1}^1 p_m(w; t) p_n(w; t) w(t) dt = \delta_{m, n}$ . We denote by  $x_k = x_{m, k}(w)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , the zeros of  $p_m(w)$  indexed in increasing order and by  $L_m(w, f)$  the Lagrange polynomial interpolating a given function  $f: (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at  $x_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . (Incidentally, the function  $f$  can be unbounded at  $\pm 1$ .) Thus, setting

$$f_m(x) = \begin{cases} f(x_1) & \text{if } x \in (-\infty, x_1], \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \in [x_1, x_m], \\ f(x_m) & \text{if } x \in [x_m, \infty), \end{cases} \quad m \geq 1,$$

we have  $L_m(w, f_m) = L_m(w, f)$  and

$$\|[f - L_m(w, f)] u\|_p \leq \|(f - f_m) u\|_p + \|[f_m - L_m(w, f_m)] u\|_p. \quad (2.3)$$

In most cases  $u$  is a generalized Jacobi weight ( $u \in \text{GJ}$ ), i.e.,

$$u(x) = v^{\gamma, \delta}(x) \prod_{k=1}^r |\tau_k - x|^{a_k}, \quad |x| \leq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

In the following we use notations  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$  and  $u_m(x) = v^{\gamma, \delta}(x) \prod_{k=1}^r (|\tau_k - x| + m^{-1})^{a_k}$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $q = p/(p-1)$  and  $m = 2, 3, \dots$ . If the weight functions  $u \in \text{GJ}$ ,  $w \in \text{GSJ}$  satisfy the conditions*

$$u \in L_p, \quad \frac{u}{\sqrt{w}} \varphi^{-1/2} \in L_p, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sqrt{w}}{u} \varphi^{-1/2} \in L_q, \quad \frac{w}{u} \in L_q, \quad (2.5)$$

then for every bounded and measurable function  $g: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\|[g - L_m(w, g)] u\|_p \leq \mathcal{C} \tilde{E}_{m-1}(g)_{u, p}, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a positive constant independent of  $m$  and  $g$ .

The next crucial step is to estimate  $\tilde{E}_m(f_m)_{u, p}$  ( $f_m$  is the function defined above).

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $m = 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $u \in \text{GJ}$ ,  $w \in \text{GSJ}$ . If  $u \in L_p$  and the function  $f \in \text{AC}_{\text{Loc}}$  satisfies*

$$f' \varphi u_m \in L_p([x_1, x_m]), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $x_1 = x_{m,1}(w)$  and  $x_m = x_{m,m}(w)$ , then

$$\tilde{E}_m(f_m)_{u,p} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|\varphi f' u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a positive constant independent of  $m$ ,  $p$ , and  $f$ .

Theorem 2.2 yields

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Using the assumptions and the notations of the Theorem 2.2, we get*

$$\tilde{E}_m(f_m)_{u,p} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \tilde{E}_{m-1}(f_m)_{u\varphi,p}, \quad m \geq 2, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a positive constant independent of  $m$ ,  $p$ , and  $f$ .

The iterated application of (2.9) and (2.8) gives that if  $f^{(r)} \varphi^r u_m \in L_p([x_1, x_m])$ , then

$$\tilde{E}_m(f_m)_{u,p} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m^r} \|f^{(r)} \varphi^r u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])}, \quad r \geq 1, \quad m \geq 2. \quad (2.10)$$

When  $u(x) \equiv 1$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , estimates similar to (2.10) are in [15] and [5]. Further, when  $p = 1$  and  $u = \sigma(1 + \log^+ \sigma)$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{GJ}$ , estimates of  $\tilde{E}_m(f)_{u,1}$  can be found in [7]. Now we estimate the error of Lagrange interpolation. Setting  $I_m = [-1, x_1] \cup [x_m, 1]$ , the following theorem holds.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $m = 2, 3, \dots$ . Assume that  $u \in \text{GJ}$  and  $w \in \text{GSJ}$  satisfy (2.5). If  $u \in L_p$ , then for every function  $f \in \text{AC}_{\text{Loc}}$  with  $f' \varphi^{2/p} u \in L_1$*

$$\| [f - L_m(w, f)] u \|_p \leq \mathcal{C} \left[ \tilde{E}_{m-1}(f_m)_{u,p} + \int_{I_m} |f'(t)| (1-t^2)^{1/p} u(t) dt \right], \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a positive constant independent of  $m$  and  $f$ .

**Remark 1.** Using a similar argument, we can prove inequality (2.11) if we replace  $u$  by  $u_m$ .

The estimate (2.11) is useful when the function  $f$  is unbounded at  $\pm 1$ . For instance, let  $w(x) = (1 - x^2)^\alpha$  and  $u(x) = (1 - x^2)^\gamma$ . In this case (2.5) means

$$\gamma > -\frac{1}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \max\left(\gamma - 1 + \frac{1}{p}, 2\gamma - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2}{p}\right) < \alpha < 2\gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{p}.$$

Then, by (2.10) and (2.11) we obtain

$$\| [f - L_m(w, f)] u \|_p = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(m^{-2\sigma - 2\gamma - 2/p}) & \text{if } f(x) = (1 - x)^\sigma, \sigma + \gamma + 1/p > 0, \\ \mathcal{O}(m^{-2\gamma - 2/p}) & \text{if } f(x) = \log(1 + x). \end{cases}$$

The previous estimates have the same order as the best approximation in  $L_p$  space with Jacobi weight (see [4, pp. 109, 110]).

Now we can state the following.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ ,  $m = 2, 3, \dots$ , assume that  $u \in \text{GJ}$ ,  $w \in \text{GSJ}$  satisfy (2.5). If  $u \in L_p$ ,  $f \in \text{AC}_{\text{Loc}}$  and  $f' \varphi u_m \in L_p$ , then*

$$\| [f - L_m(w, f)] u \|_p \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \| f' \varphi u_m \|_p, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\| [f - L_m(w, f)] u_m \|_p \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \| f' \varphi u_m \|_p,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a positive constant independent of  $m$  and  $f$ .

Inequality (2.11), together with (2.10) and (2.12), is sufficient to estimate the weighted  $L_p$  interpolation error for a wide class of functions. In fact, from (2.12) it follows, whenever  $f' \varphi u_m \in L_p$ , that

$$\| [f - L_m(w, f)] u \|_p \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} E_{m-2}(f')_{\varphi u_m, p}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $E_m(g)_{\sigma, p} = \inf_{P \in \Pi_m} \| (g - P) \sigma \|_p$  and  $\sigma$  is a weight function. (Indeed, we apply (2.12) for the function  $F(x) = f(x) - \int_{-1}^x P_{m-2}(t) dt$ , where  $P_{m-2} \in \Pi_{m-2}$ .)

If  $u = v^{\gamma, \delta}$  (i.e.,  $a_k = 0$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, r$ ), we can estimate  $E_{m-2}(f')_{\varphi u_m, p}$  by the main part of the  $\varphi$ -modulus of continuity [3]. Unfortunately, (2.13) does not work in the general case  $u \neq v^{\gamma, \delta}$  because, at present, estimates

of  $E_{m-2}(f')_{\varphi u_m, p}$  are not available. Instead we can proceed as follows. Replacing in (2.12)  $f$  by  $f(x) - \int_{-1}^x L_{m-1}(w\varphi^2, f', t) dt$ , we have

$$\| [f - L_m(w, f)] u \|_p \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \| [f' - L_{m-1}(w\varphi^2, f')] \varphi u_m \|_p, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\| [f - L_m(w, f)] u_m \|_p \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \| [f' - L_{m-1}(w\varphi^2, f')] \varphi u_m \|_p, \quad (2.15)$$

with  $1 < p < \infty$ .

Moreover, if  $u \in \text{GJ}$  and  $w \in \text{GSJ}$  satisfy (2.5), then so do  $u\varphi^k$  and  $w\varphi^{2k}$ . Therefore, starting from (2.14) we can iterate (2.15) and finally apply the second inequality in (2.12) or (2.11) together with (2.10). Hence, for instance, we get

$$\| [f - L_m(w, f)] u \|_p \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m^r} \| \varphi^r f^{(r)} u_m \|_p, \quad r \geq 1, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (2.16)$$

In particular, if  $p = 2$ ,  $w \in \text{GSJ}$  and  $u = \sqrt{w}$ , the conditions (2.5) are satisfied. So the previous estimates are refinements of the well-known theorem of Erdős and Turán [4] for GSJ weights.

Finally, we get

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $m = 2, 3, \dots$ , and assume that  $u \in \text{GJ}$  and  $u \in L_p$ . If  $f \in \text{AC}_{\text{Loc}}$  and  $f' \varphi u_m \in L_p$ , then*

$$E_m(f)_{u, p} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \| f' \varphi u_m \|_p,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a positive constant independent of  $m, p$ , and  $f$ .

*Remark 2.* It is easy to prove that  $E_m(f)_{u_m, p} \leq (\mathcal{C}/m) \| f' \varphi u_m \|_p$  (see the proofs in Section 3). Unfortunately, at this moment we cannot prove the estimate  $E_m(f)_{u, p} \leq (\mathcal{C}/m) \| f' \varphi u \|_p$  with  $u \in \text{GJ}$ , which holds when  $u = v^{j, \delta}$  or when the exponents  $a_k$  of the weight  $u$  are negative. The case when every  $a_k > 0$  is open. Similar remarks hold for the other estimates.

### 3. PROOFS

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* From Theorem 9.25 by Nevai [11, p. 169], we get

*Statement A.* Let  $w \in \text{GSJ}$ ,  $u \in \text{GJ}$ ,  $u \in L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $P \in \Pi_{m-1}$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{m, k}(w) |u_m(x_{m, k}(w)) P(x_{m, k}(w))|^p / w_m(x_{m, k}(w)) \leq \mathcal{C} \|Pu\|_p^p,$$

where

$$w_m(x) = v^{\alpha, \beta}(x) \prod_{k=1}^s (|\chi_k - x| + m^{-1})^{\gamma_k},$$

$$u_m(x) = v^{\gamma, \delta}(x) \prod_{k=1}^r (|\tau_k - x| + m^{-1})^{\alpha_k}, \quad |x| < 1,$$

$$\lambda_{m, k}(w) = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i^2(w, x_{m, k}(w)) \right]^{-1},$$

and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(w, u, p)$ .

We also need a consequence of Theorem 3.2 by Xu [17, p. 82].

*Statement B.* By the notations and conditions of Theorem 2.1 and Statement A, we have, for  $P \in \Pi_{m-1}$ ,

$$\|Pu\|_p^p \leq \mathcal{C} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{m, k}(w) |u_m(x_{m, k}(w)) P(x_{m, k}(w))|^p / w_m(x_{m, k}(w)).$$

In Statement B we applied the cast (replacing  $u$  in Xu's theorem by  $V$ )  $V = u^p/w$ ,  $\beta' = u$ , and  $\alpha' = w$ .

Let  $g$  be a bounded and measurable function and  $Q^\pm \in \Pi_{m-1}$  such that  $Q^-(x) \leq g(x) \leq Q^+(x)$ ,  $|x| \leq 1$ .

With  $u \in \text{GJ}$ , we have

$$\|[g - L_m(w, g)]u\|_p \leq \|[Q^+ - Q^-]u\|_p + \|L_m(w, f - Q^-)u\|_p.$$

Using Statement B and then Statement A,

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_m(w, f - Q^-)u\|_p^p &\leq \mathcal{C} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{m, k}(w) \frac{u_m^p(x_{m, k}(w))}{w_m(x_{m, k}(w))} [f - Q^-]^p(x_{m, k}(w)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{m, k}(w) \frac{u_m^p(x_{m, k}(w))}{w_m(x_{m, k}(w))} [Q^+ - Q^-]^p(x_{m, k}(w)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \|(Q^+ - Q^-)u\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|[g - L_m(w, g)]u\|_p \leq \mathcal{C} \|(Q^+ - Q^-)u\|_p, \quad (3.1)$$

and Theorem 2.1 follows from (3.1), making the infimum with respect to  $Q^\pm$ . ■

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need some preliminary facts and lemmas. If  $A$  and  $B$  are two expressions depending on some variables then we write  $A \sim B$  if  $|A/B|^{\pm 1} \leq \mathcal{C}$  uniformly for the variables under consideration.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $u(x) = b(x) v^{\gamma, \delta}(x) \prod_{k=1}^r |\tau_k - x|^{a_k} \in \mathbf{GJ}$  and  $y_k = y_{m, k} = -\cos(k\pi/(m+1))$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, m+1$ . If  $u \in L_p$ , then for every  $k$  with  $1 \leq |k| \leq m$  and  $1 \leq v$ ,  $v \pm k$ ,  $v \pm k \pm 1 \leq m$ ,*

$$\int_{y_{v-1}}^{y_v} u(x) dx \leq \mathcal{C} |k|^\Gamma \int_{y_{v+k-1}}^{y_{v+k}} u(x) dx$$

where  $\Gamma = \max\{|2\gamma+1|, |2\delta+1|\} + 2r \max_{k=1, \dots, r} |a_k|$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is an absolute constant independent of  $m$ ,  $k$ , and  $v$ .

*Proof* The proof requires examination of several particular cases, but only simple calculations. For the sake of brevity we consider the weight  $u(x) = v^{\gamma, \delta}(x) |x - \tau_1|^{a_1}$  and the case

$$-1 \leq y_{v-1} \leq y_v < \dots < y_{v+k-1} < y_{v+k} < \dots < y_{v+k+s} \leq \tau_1 \leq y_{v+k+s+1} \leq 0.$$

Then

$$I_v = \int_{y_{v-1}}^{y_v} u(x) dx \sim \frac{v}{m^2} \left(\frac{v}{m}\right)^{2\delta} \left(\frac{(v+k+s)^2 - v^2}{m^2}\right)^{a_1},$$

$$I_{v+k} = \int_{y_{v+k-1}}^{y_{v+k}} u(x) dx \sim \frac{v+k}{m^2} \left(\frac{v+k}{m}\right)^{2\delta} \left(\frac{(v+k+s)^2 - (v+k)^2}{m^2}\right)^{a_1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_v}{I_{v+k}} &\sim \left(\frac{v}{v+k}\right)^{2\delta+1} \left(\frac{(v+k+s)^2 - v^2}{(v+k+s)^2 - (v+k)^2}\right)^{a_1} \\ &= \left(\frac{v}{v+k}\right)^{2\delta+1} \left(\frac{k+s}{s}\right)^{a_1} \left(\frac{2v+s+k}{2v+s+2k}\right)^{a_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $k > 0$ . (If  $k < 0$ , we can consider  $I_{v+k}/I_v$ .) Then, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{v}{v+k}\right)^{2\delta+1} \leq \mathcal{C} k^{2\delta+1}.$$

Moreover, if  $a_1 > 0$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{2v+s+k}{2v+s+2k}\right)^{a_1} < 1$$



and

$$\left(\frac{k+s}{s}\right)^{a_1} \leq \mathcal{C}k^{a_1}.$$

If  $a_1 < 0$ , then  $((k+s)/s)^{a_1} < 1$  and  $((2v+s+k)/(2v+s+2k))^{a_1} = (1+k/(2v+k+s))^{-a_1} < \mathcal{C}k^{-a_1}$ . Hence

$$\frac{I_v}{I_{v+k}} \leq \mathcal{C}k^{12\delta+1+|a_1|},$$

as was stated. The other cases are similar. ■

Let  $x_k = x_{m,k}(w)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , with  $m \geq 2$ . Putting  $n = \mu m$ ,  $2\pi < \mu \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $t_k = t_{n+1,k} = -\cos(((2k-1)/(n+1))(\pi/2))$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ , the zeros of the Chebyshev polynomial  $T_{n+1}$ . Since  $w \in \text{GSJ}$ ,  $1 + x_{m,1}(w) \sim m^{-2} \sim 1 - x_{m,m}(w)$ ; hence there exists a fixed  $\bar{\mu} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for  $\mu > \max(2\pi, \bar{\mu})$  we have

$$-1 < t_1 < \dots < t_{\rho-1} \leq x_1 < t_\rho < \dots < t_\sigma < x_m \leq t_{\sigma+1} < \dots < t_{n+1} < 1,$$

for some  $\rho > 1$  and  $\sigma \leq n$ .

Now we define the function  $S^+(x) = S^+(f_m, x)$  as

$$S^+(f_m, x) = M_\rho + \sum_{k=\rho}^{\sigma} (x-t_k)_+^0 \delta_k,$$

where generally

$$\eta_i(x) = (x-t)_+^0 = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq t, \\ 1, & x > t. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

$$M_\rho = \sup\{f_m(t), -1 \leq t \leq t_\rho\},$$

$$M_k = \sup\{f_m(t), t_{k-1} < t \leq t_k\}, \quad \rho+1 \leq k \leq \sigma,$$

$$M_{\sigma+1} = \sup\{f_m(t), t_\sigma < t \leq 1\},$$

$$\delta_k = M_{k+1} - M_k, \quad k = \rho, \dots, \sigma.$$

Analogously, we can define  $S^-(x) = S^-(f_m, x)$  as

$$S^-(f_m, x) = m_\rho + \sum_{k=\rho}^{\sigma} (x-t_k)_+^0 \bar{\delta}_k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_\rho &= \inf\{f_m(t), -1 \leq t \leq t_\rho\}, \\ m_k &= \inf\{f_m(t), t_{k-1} < t \leq t_k\}, \quad \rho + 1 \leq k \leq \sigma, \\ m_{\sigma+1} &= \inf\{f_m(t), t_\sigma < t \leq 1\}, \\ \bar{\delta}_k &= m_{k+1} - m_k, \quad k = \rho, \dots, \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

By definition,

$$S^-(f_m, x) \leq f_m(x) \leq S^+(f_m, x).$$

Now we put  $M = 2an$ , with  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $2a > \Gamma + 2$ , and  $\Gamma$  as defined in Lemma 3.1, and we define the polynomials  $P_{M,k}^+, P_{m,k}^- \in \Pi_M$ ,  $\rho \leq k \leq \sigma$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{M,k}^+(t_i) &= \begin{cases} 1, & i = k, k + 1, \dots, n + 1, \\ 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1, \end{cases} \\ \frac{d^j}{dx^j} P_{M,k}^+(t_i) &= 0, \quad i \neq k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2a - 1, \\ P_{M,k}^-(t_i) &= \begin{cases} 1, & i = k + 1, \dots, n + 1, \\ 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \end{cases} \\ \frac{d^j}{dx^j} P_{M,k}^-(t_i) &= 0, \quad i \neq k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2a - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Working as in [15], we can prove that

$$P_{M,k}^-(x) \leq (x - t_k)_+^0 \leq P_{M,k}^+(x)$$

and

$$P_{M,k}^+(x) - P_{M,k}^-(x) = l_k^{2a}(x),$$

where  $l_k$  is the  $k$ th fundamental Lagrange polynomial based on the Chebyshev zeros  $t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}$ . Moreover, by the previous polynomials, we define

$$\begin{aligned} P_M^+(x) &= \sum_{\delta_k > 0} P_{M,k}^+(x) \delta_k + \sum_{\delta_k < 0} P_{M,k}^-(x) \delta_k + M_\rho, \\ P_M^-(x) &= \sum_{\delta_k > 0} P_{M,k}^-(x) \delta_k + \sum_{\delta_k < 0} P_{M,k}^+(x) \delta_k + M_\rho, \\ q_M^+(x) &= \sum_{\bar{\delta}_k > 0} P_{M,k}^+(x) \bar{\delta}_k + \sum_{\bar{\delta}_k < 0} P_{M,k}^-(x) \bar{\delta}_k + m_\rho, \\ q_M^-(x) &= \sum_{\bar{\delta}_k > 0} P_{M,k}^-(x) \bar{\delta}_k + \sum_{\bar{\delta}_k < 0} P_{M,k}^+(x) \bar{\delta}_k + m_\rho, \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = \rho, \dots, \sigma$ , and from the definitions of  $S^\pm(f_m, x)$  it follows that

$$q_M^-(x) \leq S^-(f_m, x) \leq q_M^+(x), \quad P_M^-(x) \leq S^+(f_m, x) \leq P_M^+(x), \quad (3.2)$$

$$q_M^+(x) - q_M^-(x) = \sum_{k=\rho}^{\sigma} l_k^{2a}(x) |\bar{\delta}_k|, \quad (3.3)$$

$$P_M^+(x) - P_M^-(x) = \sum_{k=\rho}^{\sigma} l_k^{2a}(x) |\delta_k|. \quad (3.4)$$

We still need a definition. Letting  $y_k = y_{n,k} = -\cos(k\pi/(n+1))$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be the zeros of the  $n$ th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind,  $U_n$ , it is well-known that  $t_k < y_k < t_{k+1}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Let us define  $\bar{S}^\pm(f_m)$  by

$$\bar{S}^+(f_m, x) = \begin{cases} |\delta_k|, & y_{k-1} \leq x \leq y_k, \quad k = \rho, \dots, \sigma, \\ 0, & x < y_{\rho-1} \quad \text{or} \quad y_\sigma < x, \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{S}^-(f_m, x) = \begin{cases} |\bar{\delta}_k|, & y_{k-1} \leq x \leq y_k, \quad k = \rho, \dots, \sigma, \\ 0, & x < y_{\rho-1} \quad \text{or} \quad y_\sigma < x. \end{cases}$$

Now we prove the following.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $u \in \text{GJ}$  be defined by (2.4) and  $u \in L_p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $f_m$  is bounded and measurable, then*

$$\|(P_M^+ - P_M^-)u\|_p \leq \mathcal{C} \|\bar{S}^+(f_m)u\|_{L_p[y_{\rho-1}, y_\sigma]}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\|(q_M^+ - q_M^-)u\|_p \leq \mathcal{C} \|\bar{S}^-(f_m)u\|_{L_p[y_{\rho-1}, y_\sigma]}, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a positive constant independent of  $p, f$  and  $m > 5$ .

*Proof.* For the sake of brevity, we prove (3.5). Formula (3.6) can be proved similarly. We observe that if  $x \in [y_{i-1}, y_i]$ ,  $i = \rho, \dots, \sigma$ , then

$$l_k^{2a}(x) \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{(|k-i|+1)^{2a}}.$$

Hence, from (3.4) and the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |P_M^+(x) - P_M^-(x)|^p u^p(x) &\leq \mathcal{C}^p \left( \sum_{k=\rho}^{\sigma} \frac{|\delta_k| u(x)}{(|k-i|+1)^{2a}} \right)^p \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}^p \sum_{k=\rho}^{\sigma} \frac{|\delta_k|^p u^p(x)}{(|k-i|+1)^{(2a-1)p}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, recalling Lemma 3.1 and the definition of  $\bar{S}^+(f_m)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} |\delta_k|^p u^p(x) dx &\leq \mathcal{C}(|k-i|+1)^{p\Gamma} \int_{y_{k-1}}^{y_k} |\delta_k|^p u^p(x) dx \\ &= \mathcal{C}(|k-i|+1)^{p\Gamma} \int_{y_{k-1}}^{y_k} \bar{S}^+(f_m, x)^p u^p(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} [P_M^+(x) - P_M^-(x)]^p u^p(x) dx &\leq C^p \sum_{k=\rho}^{\sigma} (|k-i|+1)^{-(2a-1-\Gamma)p} \\ &\quad \times \int_{y_{k-1}}^{y_k} \bar{S}^+(f_m, x)^p u^p(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by summing on  $i = 1, \dots, m+1$ ,  $y_0 = -1$ ,  $y_{m+1} = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_M^+ - P_M^-) u\|_p^p &\leq \mathcal{C}^p \sum_{k=\rho}^{\sigma} \int_{y_{k-1}}^{y_k} \bar{S}^+(f_m, x)^p u^p(x) dx \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (|k-i|+1)^{-(2a-1-\Gamma)p} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

whence by  $2a - \Gamma - 1 > 1$  the above sum  $\{ \dots \}$  is bounded for any  $k$ , so

$$\|(P_M^+ - P_M^-) u\|_p \leq \mathcal{C} \|\bar{S}^+(f_m) u\|_{L_p([y_{\rho-1}, y_{\sigma}])}. \quad \blacksquare$$

The following lemmas estimate the functions  $\bar{S}^{\pm}(f_m)$ .

LEMMA 3.3. *If  $f$  is locally absolutely continuous and  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , then*

$$\bar{S}^{\pm}(f_m, x) \leq \int_{x - (\Delta_m(x)/2)}^{x + (\Delta_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)| dt,$$

where  $\Delta_m(x) = (\sqrt{1-x^2}/m) + (1/m^2)$ .

*Proof.* We prove the lemma for  $\bar{S}^+(f_m)$ , say. If  $x \notin [y_{\rho-1}, y_{\sigma}]$ ,  $S^+(f_m, x) = 0$ , so the statement is trivial. Now we assume  $x \in [y_{\rho-1}, y_{\rho}]$ . By  $t_{\rho-1} < y_{\rho-1} < t_{\rho} < y_{\rho} < t_{\rho+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}^+(f_m, x) &= |\delta_\rho| = |M_{\rho+1} - M_\rho| \\ &\leq \sup\{|f_m(t) - f_m(t')|, -1 \leq t, t' \leq t_{\rho+1}\} \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^{t_{\rho+1}} |f'_m(t)| dt = \int_{\tau_{\rho-1}}^{t_{\rho+1}} |f'_m(t)| dt,\end{aligned}$$

since  $f'_m(x) = 0$  if  $x < x_1$  or  $x > x_m$ . Now, for every  $x \in [y_{k-1}, y_k]$ ,  $k = \rho, \dots, \sigma$ , it results that

$$t_{k+1} - t_{k-1} \leq \frac{\pi}{n} \sqrt{1-x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)^2.$$

Being  $n = \mu m$  with  $\mu > 2\pi$ , we have

$$t_{k+1} - t_{k-1} \leq \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2m^2} = \frac{\Delta_m(x)}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\bar{S}^+(f_m, x) \leq \int_{x - (\Delta_m(x)/2)}^{x + (\Delta_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)| dt, \quad x \in [y_{\rho-1}, y_\rho].$$

Similar argument works for  $x \in [y_{\sigma-1}, y_\sigma]$ . If  $x \in [y_{k-1}, y_k]$ ,  $k = \rho + 1, \dots, \sigma - 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}^+(f_m, x) &= |\delta_k| = |M_{k+1} - M_k| \\ &\leq \sup\{|f_m(t) - f_m(t')|, t, t' \in [t_{k-1}, t_{k+1}]\} \\ &\leq \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k+1}} |f'_m(t)| dt \leq \int_{x - (\Delta_m(x)/2)}^{x + (\Delta_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)| dt,\end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved. ■

Now, as before letting  $u_m(x) = v^{\gamma, \delta}(x) \prod_{k=1}^r (|x - \tau_k| + m^{-1})^{a_k}$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$ , we prove the following.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $u \in \text{GJ}$  be defined by (2.4) and  $u \in L_p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $f \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}$  and  $\varphi f' u_m \in L_p([x_1, x_m])$ , then, for  $m \geq 2$ ,*

$$\|\bar{S}^\pm(f_m) u\|_{L_p([y_{\rho-1}, y_\sigma])} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|\varphi f' u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])},$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a constant independent of  $p, f$ , and  $m$ .

*Proof.* For the sake of simplicity we prove the theorem for  $\bar{S}^+(f_m)$  and with  $u(x) = v^{y, \delta}(x) |x - \tau_1|^{a_1}$ . Now, by Lemma 3.3 and  $f'_m(x) = 0$ ,  $x \notin [x_1, x_m]$ , for  $p < \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{S}^+(f_m) u\|_{L^p([y_{p-1}, y_\sigma])}^p &= \int_{y_{p-1}}^{x_1} \cdots + \int_{x_1}^{x_m} \cdots + \int_{x_m}^{y_\sigma} \cdots \\ &\leq \int_{x_1}^{x_m} \left[ \int_{x - (\Delta_m(x)/2)}^{x + (\Delta_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)| dt \right]^p u^p(x) dx \\ &= \int_{A_m} \left[ \int_{x - (\Delta_m(x)/2)}^{x + (\Delta_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)| dt \right]^p u^p(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau_1 - m^{-1}}^{\tau_1 + m^{-1}} \left[ \int_{x - (\Delta_m(x)/2)}^{x + (\Delta_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)| dt \right]^p u^p(x) dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

with  $A_m = [x_1, \tau_1 - m^{-1}] \cup [\tau_1 + m^{-1}, x_m]$ .

To estimate  $I_1$ , we observe that,  $x$  being an element of  $A_m$  and  $|x - t| \leq \Delta_m(x)$  by [3, p. 80],  $u(x) \sim u_m(x) \leq \mathcal{C} u_m(t)$ ,  $\Delta_m(x) \leq \mathcal{C} \Delta_m(t)$ , and  $\Delta_m(t) \leq \mathcal{C}(\sqrt{1 - t^2}/m)$ . Therefore, if  $p < \infty$ , by the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_{A_m} \Delta_m^{p-1}(x) \int_{x - (\Delta_m(x)/2)}^{x + (\Delta_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)|^p dt u_m^p(x) dx \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}^p \int_{A_m} \int_{x - (\Delta_m(x)/2)}^{x + (\Delta_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)|^p \Delta_m^{p-1}(t) u_m^p(t) dt dx \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}^p \int_{x_1}^{x_m} \Delta_m^{p-1}(t) |f'_m(t)|^p u_m^p(t) \left[ \int_{|x-t| \leq \Delta_m(x)} dx \right] dt \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}^p \int_{x_1}^{x_m} \Delta_m^p(t) |f'_m(t)|^p u_m^p(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$I_1 \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}^p}{m^p} \|\varphi f' u_m\|_{L^p([x_1, x_m])}^p.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \int_{\tau_1 - m^{-1}}^{\tau_1 + m^{-1}} \left[ \int_{x - (A_m(x)/2)}^{x + (A_m(x)/2)} |f'(t)| dt \right]^p u^p(x) dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\tau_1 - m^{-1}}^{\tau_1 + m^{-1}} \Delta_m^{p-1}(x) \int_{x - (A_m(x)/2)}^{x + (A_m(x)/2)} |f'(t)|^p dt u^p(x) dx \\
 &\leq \mathcal{C}^p \int_{\tau_1 - m^{-1}}^{\tau_1 + m^{-1}} \int_{x - (A_m(x)/2)}^{x + (A_m(x)/2)} \Delta_m^{p-1}(t) |f'(t)|^p v^{p\gamma, p\delta}(t) dt |x - \tau_1|^{a_1 p} dx \\
 &\leq \mathcal{C}^p \int_{\tau_1 - cm^{-1}}^{\tau_1 + cm^{-1}} \Delta_m^{p-1}(t) |f'(t)|^p v^{p\gamma, p\delta}(t) \left[ \int_{\tau_1 - m^{-1}}^{\tau_1 + m^{-1}} |x - \tau_1|^{a_1 p} dx \right] dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\int_{\tau_1 - m^{-1}}^{\tau_1 + m^{-1}} |x - \tau_1|^{a_1 p} dx \leq \mathcal{C} \Delta_m(t) (|t - \tau_1| + m^{-1})^{a_1 p},$$

it follows that

$$I_2 \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}^p}{m^p} \|\varphi f' u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])}^p.$$

Recalling the estimation for  $I_1$ , the lemma follows if  $p < \infty$ . The case  $p = \infty$  is similar (cf. [3, p. 80]). ■

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* We recall that  $S^-(f_m) \leq f_m \leq S^+(f_m)$  and  $q_M^- \leq S^-(f_m) \leq q_M^+$ ,  $P_M^- \leq S^+(f_m) \leq P_M^+$ , from which  $q_M^- \leq f_m \leq P_M^+$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{E}_M(f_m)_{u,p} &\leq \|(P_M^+ - q_M^-) u\|_p \\
 &\leq \|[P_M^+ - S^+(f_m)] u\|_p + \|[S^+(f_m) - S^-(f_m)] u\|_p + \|[S^-(f_m) - q_M^-] u\|_p \\
 &\leq \|(P_M^+ - P_M^-) u\|_p + \|(q_M^+ - q_M^-) u\|_p \\
 &\quad + \|[S^+(f_m) - S^-(f_m)] u\|_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4,

$$\tilde{E}_M(f_m)_{u,p} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|\varphi f' u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])} + \|[S^+(f_m) - S^-(f_m)] u\|_p.$$

Now, being that  $f \in AC_{\text{Loc}}$ , if  $x \in [y_{k-1}, y_k]$ ,  $k = \rho, \dots, \sigma$ , we have

$$S^+(f_m; x) - S^-(f_m; x) \leq \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k+1}} |f'_m(t)| dt \leq \int_{x-(A_m(x)/2)}^{x+(A_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)| dt,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|[S^+(f_m) - S^-(f_m)] u\|_p &\leq \int_{-1}^1 \left[ \int_{x-(A_m(x)/2)}^{x+(A_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)| dt \right]^p u^p(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{y_{\rho-1}}^{x_1} \dots + \int_{x_1}^{x_m} \dots + \int_{x_m}^{y_\sigma} \dots \\ &\leq \int_{x_1}^{x_m} \left[ \int_{x-(A_m(x)/2)}^{x+(A_m(x)/2)} |f'_m(t)| dt \right]^p u^p(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, working as in Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\|[S^+(f_m) - S^-(f_m)] u\|_p \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|\varphi f' u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])},$$

whence we get

$$\tilde{E}_M(f_m)_{u,p} \leq \frac{2\mu\alpha\mathcal{C}}{M} \|\varphi f' u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])} = \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|\varphi f' u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])}. \quad \blacksquare$$

*Proof of Corollary 2.3.* We start from

$$\tilde{E}_m(f_m)_{u,p} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|f' \varphi u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])}.$$

Now let  $Q^+(x) = \int_{-1}^x \pi^+(t) dt$  and  $Q^-(x) = \int_{-1}^x \pi^-(t) dt$ , where  $\pi^\pm \in \Pi_{m-1}$  and  $\pi^-(x) \leq f'_m(x) \leq \pi^+(x)$ ,  $x \in [x_1, x_m]$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_m(f_m)_{u,p} &= \tilde{E}_m(f_m - Q^-)_{u,p} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|(f' - \pi^-) \varphi u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|(\pi^+ - \pi^-) \varphi u_m\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|(\pi^+ - \pi^-) \varphi u_m\|_{L_p(B_m)}, \end{aligned}$$



where  $B_m = [x_1, x_m] - \bigcup_{k=1}^r [\tau_k - m^{-1}, \tau_k + m^{-1}]$ . Here the last inequality follows from a result in [6, Lemma 2.2, p. 105]. Since

$$\|(\pi^+ - \pi^-) \varphi u_m\|_{L_p(B_m)} \leq \mathcal{C} \|(\pi^+ - \pi^-) \varphi u\|_{L_p(B_m)},$$

it follows that

$$\tilde{E}_m(f_m)_{u,p} \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|(\pi^+ - \pi^-) \varphi u\|_{L_p([x_1, x_m])},$$

and, making the infimum be on  $\pi^\pm$ , (2.9) follows. ■

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* We observe that, from (2.3) and Theorem 2.1,

$$\|[f - L_m(w, f)] u\|_p \leq \mathcal{C} \tilde{E}_{m-1}(f_m)_{u,p} + \|(f - f_m) u\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|(f - f_m) u\|_p \leq \|[f - f(x_1)] u\|_{L_p([-1, x_1])} + \|[f - f(x_m)] u\|_{L_p([x_m, 1])}.$$

We estimate the first term by Minkowski inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|[f - f(x_1)] u\|_{L_p([-1, x_1])} &= \left[ \int_{-1}^{x_1} |f(x) - f(x_1)|^p u^p(x) dx \right]^{1/p} \\ &= \left[ \int_{-1}^{x_1} \left| \int_{-1}^{x_1} (t-x)_+^0 f'(t) dt \right|^p u^p(x) dx \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^{x_1} |f'(t)| \left[ \int_{-1}^{x_1} (t-x)_+^0 u^p(x) dx \right]^{1/p} dt \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{-1}^{x_1} |f'(t)| \left[ \int_{-1}^t (1+x)^{\delta p} dx \right]^{1/p} dt \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{-1}^{x_1} |f'(t)| (1+t)^{1/p} u(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The estimation of  $\|[f - f(x_m)] u\|_{L_p([x_m, 1])}$  is similar and the theorem is proved. ■

*Proof of Corollary 2.5.* The assertion follows from Theorem 2.2 and the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{I_m} |f'(t)|(1-t^2)^{1/p} u(t) dt &\leq \mathcal{C} \int_{I_m} |f'(t)|(1-t^2)^{1/p} u_m(t) dt \\
&= \mathcal{C} \int_{I_m} |f'(t)| \varphi(t) u_m(t) \varphi(t)^{1-(2/q)} dt \\
&\leq \mathcal{C} \|f' \varphi u_m\|_p \left( \int_{I_m} \varphi(t)^{q-2} dt \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|f' \varphi u_m\|_p. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Corollary 2.6.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(f-P)u\|_p &\leq \|(f-f_m)u\|_p + \|(f_m-P)u\|_p, \\
n \geq 2, \quad P \in \Pi_m, \quad p \in [1, \infty].
\end{aligned}$$

Making the infimum on  $P$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_m(f)_{u,p} &\leq \|(f-f_m)u\|_p + E_m(f_m)_{u,p} \\
&\leq \|(f-f_m)u\|_p + \tilde{E}_m(f_m)_{u,p}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the proofs of Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 it follows that

$$\|(f-f_m)u\|_p \leq \frac{\mathcal{C}}{m} \|f' \varphi u_m\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

with  $\mathcal{C}$  independent on  $m, f, P$ .

Since  $\|f' \varphi u_m\|_\infty < \infty$ , (2.7) still holds for  $p = \infty$ , and Corollary 2.6 follows from Theorem 2.2.  $\blacksquare$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the referees for their suggestions, especially regarding the proof of Theorem 2.1.

#### REFERENCES

1. V. M. BADKOV, Convergence in the mean and almost everywhere of Fourier series in polynomials orthogonal on an interval, *Mat. Sb.* **95**, No. 137 (1974), 223–256.
2. G. CRISCUOLO AND G. MASTROIANNI, Weighted  $L_p$  error of derivatives of Lagrange interpolation, MSS, in preparation.
3. Z. DITZIAN AND V. TOTIK, "Moduli of Smoothness," Series in Computational Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

4. P. ERDŐS AND P. TURÁN, On Interpolation, I, *Ann. Math.* **38** (1937), 142–151.
5. V. H. HRISTOV AND K. G. IVANOV, Operators for one sided approximation by algebraic polynomials in  $L_p([-1, 1]^d)$ , *Math. Balk.* **4** (1988), 375–389.
6. D. S. LUBINSKY AND P. NEVAI, Markov-Bernstein inequalities revisited, *Approx. Theory Appl.* **3/4** (1987), 98–118.
7. G. MASTROIANNI, Christoffel functions and error of positive quadrature rule, *Ann. Numer. Math.*, to appear.
8. G. MASTROIANNI AND P. VÉRTESI, Mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation on arbitrary system of nodes, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **57** (1993), 429–441.
9. A. MÁTÉ, P. NEVAI AND V. TOTIK, Necessary conditions for weighted mean convergence of Fourier series in orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* **46** (1986), 314–322.
10. P. NEVAI, Mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation, III, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **282** (1984), 669–698.
11. P. NEVAI, “Orthogonal Polynomials,” Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 213, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, RI, 1979.
12. J. PRESTIN, Mean convergence of derivatives of extended Lagrange interpolation, submitted for publication.
13. J. PRESTIN AND Y. XU, Convergence rate for trigonometric interpolation of non-smooth functions, *J. Approx. Theory*, to appear.
14. Y. G. SHI, Necessary conditions of mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation, *J. Approx. Theory*, to appear.
15. M. P. STOJANOVA, The best on-sided algebraic approximation in  $L_p([-1, 1])$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , *Math. Balkanica* **2**, Nos. 2–3 (1988), 101–113.
16. Y. XU, Mean convergence of generalized Jacobi series and interpolating polynomials, I, *J. Approx. Theory* **72** (1993), 237–251.
17. Y. XU, Mean convergence of generalized Jacobi series and interpolating polynomials, II, *J. Approx. Theory* **76** (1994), 77–92.